

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/565,371

Applicant(s)

PAUL, STEPHANE

Examiner

Prema M. Mertz

Art Unit

1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema M. Mertz (Primary Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Lisa E. Stahl (Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 66.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Ms. Stahl that new claim 66, line 2, recited "the early HPV-16 E6..." which precipitated a 35 USC 112, second paragraph, lack of antecedent basis rejection. Authorization was obtained from Ms. Stahl to cancel "the" in claim 66 by Examiner's amendment. No response by Applicants to this interview is needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Prema Mertz/
Primary Examiner